Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 94 (1975) 65–74 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

CYCLOMETALLATION REACTIONS

XII*. ON THE EFFECT OF VARIOUS LEAVING GROUPS ON INTERNAL METALLATION REACTIONS WITH ALKYLMANGANESE COMPLEXES

RONALD L. BENNETT, MICHAEL I. BRUCE** and F. GORDON A. STONE Department of Inorganic Chemistry, The University, Bristol BS8 1TS (Great Britain) (Received February 4th, 1975)

Summary

The interaction of azobenzene and $MnR(CO)_5$ (R = Me, Et, CH_2Ph , CH_2 -C₆Me₅, COCF₃, COCH₂C₆F₅, COCH₂OPh, Ph or C₆F₅) affords $Mn(C_6H_4N=NPh)$ -(CO)₄, together with a binuclear complex $Mn_2(CO)_6(C_{12}H_{10}N_2)$ in some cases. The metallation reaction is shown to proceed most readily with Mn-(CH₂Ph)(CO)₅; with this reagent, the metallated complexes $Mn(C_6H_4CH_2PMe_2)$ -(CO)₃[PMe₂(CH₂Ph)] (two isomers) and $Mn(C_6H_4CH_2AsMe_2)$ (CO)₄ have been obtained on treatment with $EMe_2(CH_2Ph)$ (E = P and As, respectively).

Introduction

Metallation of azobenzene by transition metal substrates to give complexes containing the chelating 2-(phenylazo)phenyl-C,N' ligand occurs with elimination of the *ortho*-hydrogen atom as a variety of small molecules, such as HCl, C_5H_6 , etc. [2]. Our earliest studies revealed that methyl groups are particularly good leaving groups, being removed as methane [3]. Other examples include the intramolecular metallation of RhMe(PPh₃)₃ [4], the reaction between metallated phosphine complexes and MMe(CO)₅ (M = Mn, Re) to give a multitude of products, including I [5], the metallation of aryl ketones giving II [6], for example, and the synthesis of the metallocycle III by elimination of methane from IV [7].

Little is known of the factors involved in reactions of this type, and we report herein the results of a qualitative survey of the reactivity of various $MnR(CO)_5$ complexes towards metallation of azobenzene. During the course of this work, we found that $Mn(CH_2Ph)(CO)_5$ is more efficient at promoting these

^{*} For part XI see ref. 1.

^{**} Address correspondence to this author at Department of Physical and Inorganic Chemistry, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, 5001.

reactions than is the methyl compound, and we describe use of the benzyl complex to synthesise complexes containing internally metallated tertiary phosphine and arsine ligands, reactions which do not occur using $MnMe(CO)_5$.



$P = PMe_2(CH_2Ph); M = Mn$

oc

Several complexes containing ortho-metallated dimethylbenzylamine ligands have been reported. Thus Li₂PdCl₄ or Na₂PtCl₄ readily react with the parent tertiary amine to give $[M(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2)Cl]_2$ (M = Pd or Pt, respectively) [8], while MnMe(CO)₅ affords $Mn(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2)(CO)_4$ [9], which has been characterised by an X-ray diffraction study [10]. Use of the ortho-lithio derivative has given $Co(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2)_3$ [11], and similar reactions have been used to prepare $M(C_6H_4CH_2EMe_2)_2$ (M = Ni, Pd or Pt; E = N [12] or As [13]). In contrast, we did not observe any metallation reactions in a wide range of complexes containing the ligands $PMe_2(CH_2Ph)$ or $AsMe_2(CH_2Ph)$ [14]. Indeed, little has been reported concerning cyclometallation reactions of arsenic donor ligands. Heating IrCl(AsPh_3)_3 in refluxing benzene for 6 h is reported to give good yields of IrHCl(C₆H₄AsPh₂)(AsPh₃)₂ [15], and metallation of AsMe₂(Cl₁₀H₇) (Cl₁₀H₇ = 1-naphthyl) in the *peri* position by platinum has been described [16]. The chromium complex $Cr(C_6H_4CH_2AsMe_2)_3$ has been obtained from $CrCl_3$ and *o*- $LiC_6H_4CH_2AsMe_2$ [17].

Results and discussion

Metallation reactions using the complexes MnR(CO)₅

In an attempt to gain some insight into the role of the R group in cyclometallation reactions of $MnR(CO)_5$, the behaviour of selected alkyl- and arylmanganese carbonyls in reactions with azobenzene was examined. We did not attempt a detailed kinetic study, but comparative reactions were carried out under standard conditions. Typically, equimolar proportions of $MnR(CO)_5$ and azobenzene were heated together in refluxing methylcyclohexane (b.p. 100°C), while monitoring the $\nu(CO)$ region of the infrared spectrum. The times after which the $\nu(CO)$ bands of the initial $MnR(CO)_5$ complex were no longer apparent were noted. The products from these reactions, which were either the long-known compound [18] $Mn(C_6H_4N=NPh)(CO)_4$ (V) or the binuclear complex Mn_2 -($CO)_6(C_{12}H_{10}N_2)$ formulated with structure VI [19], or occasionally both, were isolated by chromatography and sublimation, and identified by comparison with authentic samples.

In the earlier work [3] high yields of V and the rhenium analogue were obtained in relatively short times from reactions between azobenzene and MMe-(CO)₅ (M = Mn or Re) at *ca* 120°C (Mn, 3h, 93%; Re, 6h, 80%). In the present series of experiments, carried out at somewhat lower temperatures, periodic examination of the infrared spectra of reaction mixtures indicated that no MnMe-(CO)₅ remained after 4 h; under these conditions the isolated yield of V was around 40%.

For R = Et or benzyl, the same result was achieved after only 2 h and 1 h, respectively. The pentamethylbenzyl complex appeared to react very readily, but afforded only a low yield of V, together with substantial amounts of Mn_2 -(CO)₁₀. This result presumably reflects the tendency for thermal decomposition of $MnR(CO)_5$ into $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ and R_2 [20], a process facilitated by the presence of the permethylated phenyl group. The binuclear complex was obtained in poor yield from reactions using complexes $MnR(CO)_5$ where R = Ph, C₆F₅ or $CH_2C_6F_5$, while the phenoxymethyl derivative afforded significant amounts of both azobenzene derivatives V and VI.

Decarbonylation was a common first step in the reactions of the acylmanganese complexes, as shown by a rapid reduction in intensity of the $\nu(acyl CO)$ band, with the simultaneous appearance of $\nu(CO)$ bands of the corresponding alkyl or aryl complexes. Cyclometallation occurred by reaction between azobenzene and the decarbonylated complexes; in no instance was any evidence obtained for the formation of complexes of the type Mn(COR)(CO)₄(PhN=NFh) or Mn(COC₆H₄N=NPh)(CO)_n (n = 4 or 5).

The only reaction observed using $Mn(CH_2CH=CH_2)(CO)_5$ was decarbonylation to form the η^3 -allyl complex, which did not react further over a period of 24 h. This lack of reactivity contrasts with the ready formation of $Pt(C_5H_4N=NPh)$ - $(\eta-C_5H_5)$ in the reaction between azobenzene and $Pt(\eta^3-C_3H_5)(\eta-C_5H_5)$ [21], with elimination of propene, probably as a result of the tendency for nickel, palladium and platinum η^3 -allyls to react with donor ligands to form η^1 -allylic intermediates [22].

We do not have enough information to distinguish between the steric, electronic and thermodynamic effects which undoubtedly influence this reactior Our results are consistent with a mechanism involving an initial coordination of one of the azobenzene nitrogens to manganese, resulting in a build-up of electron density on the metal atom, which may be more or less dispersed in the R group, depending on the nature of R. The resulting intermediate then undergoes a concerted reaction involving cleavage of the Mn—C σ bond, and of the *ortho*-C—H bond, to give RH and complex V. A four-centre transition state such as VII may be written. It is conceivable that the initial coordination of the azo function results in migration of the R group to the aryl C—H region, akin to the transfer of methyl from manganese to CO found in the reaction between MnMe(CO)₅ and PPh₃, for example.

When we come to consider the differing effects of the various R groups, it is not clear which factor takes precedence. The clearest result, the more facile metallation observed for $R = CH_2Ph$ over R = Me, is probably related to the greater thermodynamic stability of the resulting hydrocarbon (toluene vs. methane). The total lack of reaction with $R = CF_3$ may reflect a greater strength of the Mn—CF₃ over Mn—CH₃, although this has been questioned. The exact manner of formation of complex VI is not clear at present, although formally it results from the 1,3-addition of HMn(CO)₅ across the N=NMn system of V. In one case ($R = CH_2OPh$), this reaction becomes competitive with the formation of V, both products being found in approximately equimolar proportions.

Metallation of $PMe_2(CH_2Ph)$ and $AsMe_2(CH_2Ph)$

The most tangible result of the work described above is the demonstration that for manganese, at least, the readily-accessible benzyl metal complexes are much more efficient at promoting internal metallation reactions than are the corresponding methyl derivatives. We have further illustrated this result by preparing manganese complexes containing chelating $C_6H_4CH_2PMe_2$ and $C_6H_4CH_2$ -AsMe₂ ligands using Mn(CH₂Ph)(CO)₅ as a precursor.

A number of transition metal complexes containing benzyl dimethyl-phosphine and -arsine (L) have been described by us earlier [14], but we were not able to obtain any metallated complexes by pyrolysis of the derivatives MnMe-(CO)₃L₂, halides such as MCl₂L₂ (M = Pd or Pt), or the carbonyls Ru₃(CO)₉L₃.

A reaction between equimolar amounts of $Mn(CH_2Ph)(CO)_5$ and PMe_2 -(CH₂Ph), carried out 170°C in a sealed tube afforded only the binuclear complex [$Mn(CO)_4PMe_2(CH_2Ph)$]₂, characterised by the usual methods. It is of interest that a minor product obtained from the reaction between $MnMe(CO)_5$ and $PMe_2(CH_2Ph)$ is the tetrakis phosphine complex [$Mn(CO)_3$ { $PMe_2(CH_2Ph)$ }₂]₂.

The direct reaction between $Mn(CH_2Ph)(CO)_5$ and $PMe_2(CH_2Ph)$ in refluxing decalin gave a poor yield of a white solid, thought to be a mixture from its complex infrared $\nu(CO)$ spectrum. Repeated crystallisation effected separation into two isomers (VIIIa and b), for which analytical and mass spectral data were consistent with the formulation $Mn(C_6H_4CH_2PMe_2)(CO)_3[PMe_2(CH_2Ph)]$. The infrared spectrum of VIIIa showed three $\nu(CO)$ bands, characteristic of a *mer*-M(CO)₃ group, while the proton NMR spectrum (see below) confirmed the presence of the ortho-metallated aryl group, and showed the two PMe₂ groups were mutually cis. The second isomer VIIIb showed only two $\nu(CO)$ bands, characteristic of a fac-M(CO)₃ group, and the proton NMR spectrum again indicated mutually cis-PMe₂ groups. The illustrated structures satisfy all the spectroscopic data.

There is good evidence suggesting that the formation of these complexes proceeds via the monosubstituted derivative $Mn(CH_2Ph)(CO)_4[PMe_2(CH_2Ph)]$ (IX). This complex can be detected spectroscopically (IR) during the reaction, and can be isolated from a reaction stopped short of completion. Further, pyrolysis of an authentic sample of IX affords good yields of both VIIIa and VIIIb.

At lower temperatures, for example in refluxing toluene, the reaction between $Mn(CH_2Ph)(CO)_5$ and $PMe_2(CH_2Ph)$ affords $Mn(C_6H_4CH_2PMe_2)(CO)_4$ (X) as a white solid. The infrared spectrum contains the four $\nu(CO)$ bands expected for a *cis*-LL'M(CO)₄ complex, and the mass spectrum contained the ions $[P - n CO]^+$ (n = 0.4). The proton NMR spectrum was consistent with the presence of the *ortho*-metallated ligand.

Under the same conditions, a reaction using PMe_2Ph gave only the complex $Mn(CH_2Ph)(CO)_4(PMe_2Ph)$ (XI), characterised by the usual methods. On heating in 2-methoxyethanol in an attempt to induce metallation, slow decomposition to dark-coloured insoluble material occurred.

Cyclometallation of AsMe₂(CH₂Ph) occurred on heating with Mn(CH₂Ph)-(CO)₅ in refluxing decalin for 15 min. The yellow crystalline complex so obtained was characterised as $Mn(C_6H_4CH_2AsMe_2)(CO)_4$ (XII), the infrared spectrum containing four ν (CO) bands with relative intensities similar to those found for X. The proton NMR spectrum indicated the presence of the chelate metallated arsine ligand.

Attempts to induce an intermolecular metallation reaction, by simply heating $Mn(CH_2Ph)(CO)_5$ in refluxing decalin, resulted only in the rapid production of a yellow solution, shown to contain $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ and 1,2-diphenylethane. The same result has been reported independently [20].

Proton NMR spectra

The major features of the proton NMR spectra of the complexes described above are <u>collected in Table 1</u>.

(a) $\dot{Mn}(C_6H_4CH_2\dot{P}Me_2)(CO)_4$ (X). Signals in the aromatic region result from the ortho-metallated ring, and are easily assigned [3]. The two lower field signals (from H_A and H_B) are four-line resonances as a result of coupling to their respective ortho and meta protons. Both CH₂ and Me resonances exhibit coupling to phosphorus.

(b) $Mn(C_6H_4CH_2AsMe_2)(CO)_4$ (XII). The spectrum of the arsine complex is similar to that of X, but with the aromatic resonances now well separated and resolved. In particular, the high-field signal (for H_c and H_D) is an AB quartet centred on τ 3.19, also showing coupling to the other ring protons. The CH₂ and Me resonances are both sharp singlets, at somewhat lower field than those found for X.

(c) $Mn(C_6H_4CH_2PMe_2)(CO)_3[PMe_2(CH_2Ph)]$ (VIIIa and b). In the spectra of these complexes, the H_B signal is completely obscured by the broad resonance of the aromatic protons of the non-chelating phosphine.

70

TABLE 1

and the second							
		VIIIa ^a	VIIIba	ıx ^b	х ^b	хпс	[Mn(CO) ₄ - L] ₂ ^{b.d}
MnCH2Ph				7.85d			
J(PCH ₂)		*		7.0			
MnCH ₂ Ph				2.76m			
PMe ₂ CH ₂ Ph		8.85d, 9.08d	8.84d	8.60d	÷		8.63d
J(PMe)		8.25, 8.0	7.25	8.3			7.6
PMe ₂ CH ₂ Ph		7.22m	6.80d	6.70d			6.73d
J(PCH ₂)			5.5	6.6			6.0
PMe2CH2Ph		ca. 2.95m	ca. 2.95m	2.76 m			2.70m
MnC ₆ H ₄ CH ₂ PMe ₂		9.36dd	8.86d		9.38d	8.44s	
J(PMe)		7.0, 7.25	9.0		9.0		
MnC ₆ H ₄ CH ₂ PMe ₂		7.32d	6.98d		7.63d	7.59s	
J(PCH ₂)		5.0	9.5		10.0		
	HA	1.90dd	2.36dd		2.38dd	2.49dd	
Ha Ha	HD	ca. 2.95m	ca. 2.95m		2.86dd	2.92dd	
	H _{B+C}	3.49m	3.27m		3.03m	3.19m	
	JAB	5.4	5.5		8.0	5.5	
HD PMez	JAC	3.0	2.1		3.5	3.5	
Ma	JBD				10.0	5.25	

PROTON NMR DATA FOR SOME MANGANESE COMPLEXES. CHEMICAL SHIFTS IN τ (ppm) AND COUPLING CONSTANTS IN Hz

 $a_{C_6D_6}$, b_{CDCl_3} , c_{CS_2} , $d_{L} = PMe_2(CH_2Ph)$.

For VIIIa, the H_A signal appears at τ 1.90 as a quartet, as found for X. The presence of two non-equivalent phosphine ligands is shown by the appearance of two CH₂ resonances, and four Me'resonances; the latter feature results from the gross asymmetry of the complex, whereby the two methyl groups on each phosphorus are in different environments. Comparison with X suggests assignment of the signals at τ 7.32 (CH₂) and 9.36 (Me) to the metallated phosphine ligand. In the spectrum of VIIIb, H_A is at considerably higher field, at τ 2.36, while the Me protons appear as two resonances.

(d) $Mn(CH_2Ph)(CO)_2[PMe_2(CH_2Ph)]$ (XI). The four resonances in the spectrum of XI can be readily assigned, the two types of methylene group being well-separated at τ 6.70 (PCH₂) and 7.85 (PhCH₂).

Experimental

Spectra were recorded on Perkin–Elmer 257 (infrared), Varian Associates HA 100 [¹H (100 MHz) or ¹⁹F (94.07 MHz) NMR], or AEI-GEC MS 902 (mass) instruments. Analytical and ν (CO) data are presented in Table 2.

Reactions were normally carried out under nitrogen, although the pure complexes were generally air-stable, and no special precautions were taken to exclude oxygen during work up procedures. Chromatography was on columns of Florisil, initially packed in light petroleum. Solvents were dried and distilled before use. Light petroleum refers to a fraction b.p. 40-60°C. Benzyldimethylphosphine and -arsine were prepared as described previously [14].

TABLE 2

ANALYTICAL DATA AND INFRARED SPECTRA OF SOME NEW MANGANESE COMPLEXES

			Found (an	104 / 1021			(me) (anavadalana) (O)
Complex		(° C)	C C	H	d	N ^{+a}	
Mn(CH2C6Me5)(CO)5		130-131	67,3 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4,95		356	2106m, 2042w, 2012s, 1990s
Mn(COCH2C ₆ F ₅)(CO)5		99-100	(97.4) 38.8 (38.7)	(4.6) 0,6 (0,45)		376 ^b (404)	2122w, 2061w, 2020s, 2011s, 2003m, 1631s (acyl CO)
Mn(COCH2OPh)(CO)5		91-92	47.6 (47.3)	2.4 (2.2)		302 ^b (330)	2118m, 2054w, 2024s, 2004s, 1642s (acyl CO)
[Mn(CO)4PMe2(CH2Ph)]2		151-152	48,7 (48,9)	4,15 (4,05)			2054w, 1983m, 1965(sh), 1956vs, 1926(sh), 1921m
Mn(CH2Ph)(CO)4[PMe2(CH2Ph)]	(IX)	64-65	58,4 7 8 7	4,0		410	2056m, 1991m, 1964s, 1940n
Mn(C ₆ H4CH ₂ PMe ₂)(CO) ₄	(X)	53-54	49,3	(4,9) 3,95	9,65	318	2065m, 1989m, 1975s, 1950s
Wir(C,H, CH, PMe,)(CO) 1 [PMe, (CH, Ph)]	(V111a)	82-83	(49.2) 57.05	(3.8) 5.7	(9.75) 14.0	(318) 442	2003vs, 1931s, 1905s
	(ATTIN)	128-129	(67.0) 67.05	(5,65) 5,6	(14,0) 13,85	(442) 442	2033s, 1900m
Mn(G6H4GH7AsM02)(CO)4	(XII)	70-71	(57,0) 43,3	(5,65) 3,35	(14.0)	(442) 362	2067m, 1989m, 1978s, 1953s
			(43.4)	(3.3)		(362)	

Syntheses of complexes MnR(CO)₅

The methyl, ethyl, phenyl, pentafluorophenyl, benzyl and trifluoroacetyl complexes $MnR(CO)_5$ were prepared by published methods.

The pentamethylbenzyl ($R = CH_2C_6Me_5$), pentafluorophenylacetyl ($R = COCH_2C_6F_5$) and the phenoxyacetyl ($R = COCH_2OPh$) derivatives were obtained by treating a tetrahydrofuran solution of sodium pentacarbonylmanganate with an equivalent amount of the appropriate halide ($C_6Me_5CH_2Br$, $C_6F_5CH_2COCl$ or PhOCH₂COCl, respectively) at room temperature for two hours. The reaction mixture was then filtered, solvent removed on a rotary evaporator, and the residue recrystallised from benzene—light petroleum mixtures to afford the pure product. So prepared were:

Mn(CH₂C₆Me₅)(CO)₅, pale yellow crystals, 55% yield; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): τ 7.48s (br) (CH₂), 7.76s (br), 7.90s (br) (Me).

Mn(COCH₂C₆F₅)(CO)₅, white crystals, 41% yield; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): τ 6.28s (br); ¹⁹F NMR (C₆D₆): F_{2,6} 145.8, F_{3,5} 167.1, F₄ 160.0 ppm.

Mn(COCH₂OPh)(CO)₅, white crystals, 56% yield; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): τ 2.84m, 3.26m (C₆H₅), 6.29s (CH₂).

In common with many other acyl complexes, the mass spectra of Mn(COR)-(CO)₅ (R = CH₂C₆F₅ and CH₂OPh) did not contain parent ions, decarbonylation occurring to give the parent ion of the corresponding alkyl complex, i.e. [P – CO]^{*}. However, the presence of a strong ion at m/e 233 ([Mn(CO)₆]^{*}) together with the ν (acyl CO) band in the infrared spectrum between 1630-1645 cm⁻¹, confirmed the identity of these acyl derivatives.

Reactions between $MnR(CO)_5$ and azobenzene

A mixture of equimolar amounts of the alkyl or aryl complexes and azobenzene was refluxed in methylcyclohexane (b.p. 100° C), the reaction being monitored by periodic examination of the ν (CO) region of the infrared spectrum. The reaction was considered to be complete when the ν (CO) bands of the initial MnR(CO)₅ complex were no longer present. Solvent was then removed (rotary evaporator), and the residue was chromatographed to give three possible fractions: azobenzene (eluted with light petroleum), Mn(C₆H₄N=NPh)(CO)₄ (V) (eluted with 1/99 ether/light petroleum) or Mn₂(CO)₆(C₁₂H₁₀N₂) (VI) (eluted with 1/9 ether/light petroleum). Purification of the compounds was achieved by sublimation [azobenzene, 35°C/0.01 Torr; Mn(azb)(CO)₄, 90°C/0.01 Torr] or recrystallisation from hexane, and the products were characterised by comparison with authentic samples.

The individual experimental details are summarised in Table 3.

Reactions between $Mn(CH_2Ph)(CO)_5$ and PMe_2CH_2Ph

(a) A mixture of $Mn(CH_2Ph)(CO)_5$ (400 mg, 1.4 mmol) and $PMe_2(CH_2Ph)$ (213 mg, 1.4 mmol) in cyclohexane (70 ml) was irradiated for 72 h at room temperature. Filtration, removal of solvent (rotary evaporator), and recrystallisation of the residue (hexane) gave yellow $Mn(CH_2Ph)(CO)_4(PMe_2CH_2Ph)$ (XI) (344 mg, 60%).

(b) The benzyl complex (100 mg, 0.35 mmol) and the phosphine (53 mg, 0.35 mmol) were heated together for 4 h in refluxing toluene (40 ml). Chromatography of the concentrated reaction mixture gave the product, eluted with 1/9 ether/

72

TABLE 3

REACTIONS BETWEEN MnR(CO)5 AND AZOBENZENE

R (mg/mmol)	Azobenzene (mg/mmol)	Solvent (ml)	Product	% Conver- sion ^a	Reaction time (h)
Me					
100/0.48 Et	87/0.48	72	$Mn(azb)(CO)_4^b$	40	4.0
90/0.40 CHaPb	73/0.40	60	Mn(azb)(CO)4	56	2.0
100/0.35 CHaC4 Mes	64/0.35	53	Mn(azb)(CO) ₄	43	1.0
95/0.27	50/0.27	41	Mn(azb)(CO) ₄ Mn ₂ (CO) ₁₀	14 37 ^c	1.0
Ph					
100/0.37 C ₆ F ₅	67/0.37	56	$Mn_2(CO)_6(C_{12}H_{10}N_2)$	14	2.0
100/0.28 COCH ₂ C ₆ F ₅	51/0.28	42	$Mn_2(CO)_6(C_{12}H_{10}N_2)$	17	3.5
100/0.25 COCH2OPh	45/0.25	38	$Mn_2(CO)_6(C_{12}H_{10}N_2)$	39	2.3
40/0.28	51/0.28	42	Mn(azb)(CO)4 Mn2(CO)6(C12H10N2)	7 34	2.2

^a Based on reacted azobenzene. ^b azb = 2-(phenylazo)phenyl-C,N', ^c Based on Mn(CH₂C₆Me₅)(CO)₅.

hexane, which was recrystallised from hexane to give white $Mn(C_6H_4CH_2PMe_2)$ -(CO)₄ (X) (68 mg, 61%).

(c) Heating a mixture of the benzyl complex (286 mg, 1.0 mmol) and $PMe_2(CH_2Ph)$ (152 mg, 1.0 mmol) in refluxing decalin (8 ml) for 2 h. After cooling to -10° C, the resulting solution was filtered, evaporated, and the residue fractionally recrystallised from hexane to give two isomers of $Mn(C_6H_4CH_2PMe_2)$ -(CO)₃[PMe₂(CH₂Ph)]. Both were white, the least soluble being VIIIa (53 mg, 12%), while VIIIb (70 mg, 16%) was recovered from the mother liquors.

Reaction between $Mn(CH_2Ph)(CO)_5$ and $AsMe_2CH_2Ph$

A mixture of $Mn(CH_2Ph)(CO)_5$ (400 mg, 1.4 mmol) and the arsine (275 mg, 1.4 mmol) was heated for 15 min in refluxing decalin (4 ml). Subsequent chromatography afforded a yellow band, eluted with 1/19 ether/hexane, which was evaporated and recrystallised (hexane) to give yellow $Mn(C_6H_4CH_2AsMe_2)$ -(CO)₄ (XII) (127 mg, 25%).

Reaction between $Mn(CH_2Ph)(CO)_5$ and dimethylphenylphosphine

A mixture of the phosphine (48 mg, 0.35 mmol) and Mn(CH₂Ph)(CO)₅ (100 mg, 0.35 mmol) was heated in refluxing petroleum ether (30 ml) for 1 h. Chromatography gave a yellow band (1/4 ether—hexane) which afforded Mn(CH₂Ph)-(CO)₄(PMe₂Ph) as a yellow oil identified spectroscopically [*M* (mass spectrometry): 396; C₁₉H₁₈MnO₄P calcd.: 396). Infrared (cyclohexane): ν (CO) at 2059m, 1992m, 1967s, 1940m cm⁻¹.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the S.R.C. in the form of a Research Studentship (to R.L.B.).

References

- 1 M.L. Bruce, B.L. Goodall and F.G.A. Stone, J.Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., in press.
- 2 M.I. Bruce and B.L. Goodall, in S. Patai (Ed.) Chemistry of Azo, Hydrazo and Azoxy Compounds, John Wiley, New York, 1974, p. 259.
- 3 M.I. Bruce, M.Z. Ighal and F.G.A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1970) 3204.
- 4 W. Keim, J. Organometal. Chem., 14 (1968) 179.
- 5 R.J. McKinney, B.T. Huie, C.B. Knobler and H.D. Kaesz, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95 (1973) 633.
- 6 R. McKinney, G. Firestein and H.D. Kaesz, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95 (1973) 7910.
- 7 J.A. Duff, B.L. Shaw and B.L. Turtle, J. Organometal. Chem., 66 (1974) C18.
- 8 A.C. Cope and E.C. Friedrich, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 90 (1968) 909.
- 9 M.Z. Iqbal, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bristol, 1970.
- 10 R.G. Little and R.J. Doedens, Inorg. Chem., 12 (1973) 844.
- 11 A.C. Cope and R.N. Gourley, J. Organometal. Chem., 8 (1967) 527.
- 12 G. Longoni, P. Fantucci, P. Chini and F. Canziani, J. Organometal. Chem.. 39 (1972) 413.
- 13 G. Longoni, P. Chini, F. Canziani and P. Fantucci, Chem Commun., (1971) 470; Gazz. Chim. Ital., 104 (1974) 249.
- 14 R.L. Bennett, M.I. Bruce and F.G.A. Stone, J. Organometal. Chem., 38 (1972) 325.
- 15 M.A. Bennett and D.L. Milner, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91 (1969) 6983.
- 16 J.M. Duff, B.E. Mann, B.L. Shaw and B. Turtle, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., (1974) 139.
- 17 A. Tzschach and H. Nindel, J. Organometal. Chem., 24 (1970) 159.
- 18 R.F. Heck, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 90 (1968) 313.
- 19 R.L. Bennett, M.I. Bruce, B.L. Goodall and F.G.A. Stone, Aust. J. Chem., 27 (1974) 2131.
- 20 C.U. Pittman and R.F. Felis, J. Organometal. Chem., 72 (1974) 389.
- 21 M.I. Bruce, B.L. Goodall and F.G.A. Stone, unpublished results.
- 22 G. Parker and H. Werner, Helv. Chim. Acta, 56 (1973) 2819.